


1F W



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Att. Unit : 2132
Examiner : Kambiz Zand
Serial No. : 10/647,080
Filed : August 22, 2003
Inventor : Michael Arnouse
Title : SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR
: NETWORK SECURITY

Customer No. 35811
Confirmation No.: 1713
Docket No.: ARN-03-1221CIP

Dated: October 6, 2006

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Certificate of Mailing Under 37 CFR 1.8

For

Postcard
Interview Summary

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date appearing below.

Name of Applicant, Assignee, Applicant's Attorney
or Registered Representative:

DLA Piper Cary US LLP
Customer No. 35811

By: _____

Maurice A. Jilgman

Date: _____

10/10/06



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Art Unit : 2132
Examiner : Kambiz Zand **Customer No. 35811**
Serial No. : 10/647,080
Filed : August 22, 2003 Confirmation No.: 1713
Inventor : Michael Arnouse
Title : SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR Docket No.: ARN-03-1221CIP
: NETWORK SECURITY

Dated: October 6, 2006

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


Sir:

This is to summarize the interview between Examiner Kambiz Zand and the undersigned Applicant's representative on September 15, 2006.

The Applicant argued that the identification memory card includes a plurality of contacts or pins, and that each interface available to different categories of recipients of information (medical, financial, etc.) has corresponding contacts forming a pin code that permits access only to certain compartments of the information stored within the identification memory card. The Examiner and Applicant's representative discussed support within the Specification for this limitation, including Paragraph 25 on Page 8, Paragraph 66 on Page 34, and Paragraph 84, Letter C on Page 40, as well as Fig. 1.

The Examiner suggested amending Claim 56 to include the limitations of Claim 57, and to make further amendments to Claim 56 to clarify that the pin code provides a hardware limitation on access to various compartments within the identification memory card. The Applicant agreed to this amendment.

Respectfully submitted,



William F. Lang IV
Reg. No. 41,928
Attorney for Applicant

WFL/as